Probabilistic Parsing

Vitaliy Batusov

April 2, 2015

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Outline

1 Context

2 PCFG as a Probabilistic Model

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- 3 Computational Tasks
- 4 Probabilistic Inference
- 5 CNF and CYK

6 Summary

Probabilistic Context-Free Grammars

- N-gram and Hidden Markov models are linear
- Natural language syntax is not linear in structure
- Bayesian Networks is one way to capture structure

PCFG, a derivative of CFG, is another way

Probabilistic Context-Free Grammars

Existing CFG parsers are very good Example: CYK, O(n³)

Can't apply to NL due to ambiguity

Consider a sentence with two parse trees:



Probabilistic Context-Free Grammars



These trees induce a CFG:

S	\rightarrow	NP VP	VP	\rightarrow	V NP	Ν	\rightarrow	time	V	\rightarrow	like
NP	\rightarrow	Ν	VP	\rightarrow	V PP	Ν	\rightarrow	arrow	V	\rightarrow	flies
NP	\rightarrow	N N	PP	\rightarrow	P NP	Ν	\rightarrow	flies	Р	\rightarrow	like
NP	\rightarrow	D N				D	\rightarrow	an			

Probabilistic Context-Free Grammars

If we parse the same sentence using this CFG, we will obtain at least two different trees

Need a way to assign a score to each tree

Let's model derivations as stochastic processes

Consider the left-most derivation of the first tree:

$S \rightarrow NP VP \rightarrow N VP \rightarrow time VP \rightarrow time V PP$ $\rightarrow time flies PP \rightarrow time flies P NP \rightarrow \dots$

Each " \rightarrow " involves a choice.

PCFG as a Probabilistic Model

■ Assign a probability *P* to each rule $X \rightarrow \alpha$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(X \rightarrow \alpha_i) = 1$ for each unique non-terminal *X*

S	$\xrightarrow{1.0}$	NP VP	V	$\xrightarrow{0.3}$	like
NP	$\xrightarrow{0.4}$	Ν	v	$\xrightarrow{0.7}$	flies
NP	$\xrightarrow{0.2}$	NN	Ρ	$\xrightarrow{1.0}$	like
NP	$\xrightarrow{0.4}$	DN	VP	$\xrightarrow{0.5}$	

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ □豆 = のへで

This constitutes a PCFG.

PCFG as a Probabilistic Model

- A PCFG is a CFG in which every production rule is associated with a probability.
- A PCFG is proper if its probability distribution is proper¹ over every subset of rules that have the same left-hand-side.
- A PCFG is consistent if its probability distribution is proper over the set of trees² it generates

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

¹i.e., adds up to 1, see previous slide ²or sentences, doesn't matter ■ With rule probabilities, can calculate probability of a tree:

$$P(TREE1) = P(\mathbf{N} \rightarrow time) \times P(\mathbf{V} \rightarrow flies) \times P(\mathbf{P} \rightarrow like)$$
$$\times \dots \times P(\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{NPVP})$$
$$= 0.0084$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ □豆 = のへで

P(TREE2) = 0.00036

Now we have a winner

But where do the probabilities come from?

- Recall the Big Assignment
- Given set of parse trees a treebank count occurrences of each rule application and normalize wrt respective non-terminal

Every PCFG built using a treebank is proper

Computational Tasks

Evaluation: assessing the value of a given tree

 \Rightarrow multiply probabilities associated with each rule used in building the tree

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

(saw this already)

Generation: producing sentences

 \Rightarrow monkeys again. Start with ${\bf S},$ select derivation rule randomly according to the probability distribution, repeat for each resulting non-terminal until none left

If PCFG is proper, procedure will halt

Computational Tasks

Learning: building a PCFG from a treebank

 \Rightarrow Count occurences of each rule for X, divide result by number of all rules for X

(saw this already)

Probabilistic Inference

Inference Tasks:

P(sentence) P(tree|sentence) arg max_{tree}P(tree|sentence) (Marginalization) (Conditioning) (Completion)

Consider marginalization

$$egin{aligned} & P(\textit{sentence}) = P(w_1 w_2 \dots w_n \mid \mathbf{S}) \ & = \sum_{\textit{tree} \in T} P(\textit{tree}) \end{aligned}$$

- Need to find the set T of all trees for sentence
- Need to compute each tree's probability
- Likely to lead to an exponential algorithm For grammar $\{S \rightarrow S S, S \rightarrow a\}$, how many trees does a^n have?

Can use a version of CYK, an excellent CFG parser
Only works on CFG in Chomsky Normal Form

Chomsky Normal Form

A CFG is in CNF if its every derivation rule has one of the two forms:

$\begin{array}{rrr} \textbf{A} & \rightarrow & \textbf{B} \ \textbf{C} \\ \textbf{A} & \rightarrow & w \end{array}$

where **A**, **B**, **C** are non-terminals and *w* is a terminal.

- Any CFG can be converted to CNF
- How to translate probabilities? estimate by sampling or calculate directly

Calculating CNF:

Eliminate empty rules $\mathbf{X} \to \epsilon$ strike out RHS appearances of nullable terminals in all ways except one

 $\blacksquare \text{ Eliminate unit rules } \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$

if A derives B and B $\rightarrow \phi$, add A $\rightarrow \phi$

Eliminate terminals except singletons

introduce new non-terminals as necessary

Break rules X \rightarrow **Y**₁ **Y**₂...**Y**_n, n > 2

introduce n - 2 new non-terminals for each rule, replace rule with a set of new rules

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Our grammar in CNF:

S	\rightarrow	NP VP	VP	\rightarrow	V NP	Ν	\rightarrow	time	V	\rightarrow	like
NP	\rightarrow	time	VP	\rightarrow	V PP	Ν	\rightarrow	arrow	V	\rightarrow	flies
NP	\rightarrow	ΝN	PP	\rightarrow	P NP	Ν	\rightarrow	flies	Р	\rightarrow	like

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

CYK — Cocke-Younger-Kasami Algorithm

Algorithm 1 CYK **Require:** sentence $= w_1 \dots w_n$, and a CFG in CNF with nonterminals $N^1 \dots N^m$, N^1 is the start symbol Ensure: parsed sentence 1: allocate matrix $\beta \in \{0,1\}^{n \times n \times m}$ and initialize all entries to 0 2: for $i \leftarrow 1$ to n do 3. for all rules $N^k \to w_i$ do $\beta[i, 1, k] \leftarrow 1$ 4. 5: for $j \leftarrow 2$ to n do for $i \leftarrow 1$ to n - j + 1 do 6: for $l \leftarrow 1$ to j - 1 do 7. for all rules $N^k \rightarrow N^{k_1} N^{k_2}$ do 8: $\beta[i, j, k] \leftarrow \beta[i, j, k] \text{ OR } (\beta[i, l, k_1] \text{ AND } \beta[i+l, j-l, k_2])$ Q٠ 10: return $\beta[1, n, 1]$



Basic idea: exploiting CNF, build a chierarchical chart of non-terminals

< □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○

Add probabilities to the mix

NOT straight-forward, but has been done

Obtain efficient marginalization for PCFGs

Applications

- Monkeys
- Everything that needs to resolve ambiguity of NL parsing

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Detection of grammatical errors in text