Testing on Steriods EECS 4315

www.eecs.yorku.ca/course/4315/

A unit test is designed to test a single unit of code, for example, a method.

Such a test should be automated as much as possible; ideally, it should require no human interaction in order to run, should assess its own results, and notify the programmer only when it fails.

A class that contains unit tests is known as a test case.

The code to be tested is known as the unit under test.

JUnit is a Java unit testing framework written by Kent Beck and Erich Gamma.

JUnit is available at <a href="http://junit.org/junit4/">http://junit.org/junit4/</a>.

Annotations provide data about code that is not part of the code itself. Therefore, it is also called metadata.

In its simplest form, an annotation looks like

# @Deprecated

(The annotation type Deprecated is part of java.lang and,therefore, need not be imported.)

An annotation can include elements and their values:

# @Test(timeout=1000)

(The annotation type Test is part of org.junit and, therefore, needs to be imported.)

```
import org.junit.Assert;
import org.junit.Test;
public class ... {
   @Test
   public void ...() {
      . . .
   }
   @Test
   public void ...() {
      . . .
   }
}
```

It is good practice to use descriptive names for the test methods. This makes tests more readable when they are looked at later. Each test method should contain (at least) one assertion: an invocation of a method of the Assert class of the org.junit package.

Do not confuse these assertions with Java's **assert** statement.

- Oreate some objects.
- Invoke methods on them.
- O Check the results using a method of the Assert class.

For each method and constructor (from simplest to most complex)

- Study its API.
- Oreate unit tests.

What can we test about the constructor?

What can we test about the constructor?

### Answer

That the created object is not null.

What can we test about the booleanValue method?

What can we test about the booleanValue method?

#### Answer

Check if it returns the correct value.

What can we test about the constant TRUE?

What can we test about the constant TRUE?

#### Answer

Check if it has the correct value.

What can we test about the compareTo method?

What can we test about the compareTo method?

### Answer

- O Check if it returns a correct value.
- Check if it throws an IllegalArgumentException if the argument is null.

How many "inputs" does the compareTo method have?

How many "inputs" does the compareTo method have?

#### Answer

Two: one.compareTo(two)

How many "inputs" does the compareTo method have?

#### Answer

Two: one.compareTo(two)

# Question

How many combinations of "inputs" for the compareTo method do we have to check?

How many "inputs" does the compareTo method have?

#### Answer

Two: one.compareTo(two)

# Question

How many combinations of "inputs" for the compareTo method do we have to check?

#### Answer

Four.

... Boolean.TRUE.compareTo(FALSE) ...

# Question

Should we check if the result is -1?

```
@Test
public void testCompareTo() {
  Boolean FALSE = new Boolean(false);
   ...
   Boolean TDUE compareTo(FALSE)
```

... Boolean.TRUE.compareTo(FALSE) ...

# Question

Should we check if the result is -1?

#### Answer

No, we should check if the result is smaller than zero.

# Test the compareTo method

# Question

How many "inputs" does compareTo(null) have?

How many "inputs" does compareTo(null) have?

#### Answer

#### One.

How many "inputs" does compareTo(null) have?

#### Answer

One.

# Question

How many combinations of "inputs" for compareTo(null) do we have to check?

How many "inputs" does compareTo(null) have?

#### Answer

One.

# Question

How many combinations of "inputs" for compareTo(null) do we have to check?

#### Answer

Two.

Do we have to test the equals method?

Do we have to test the equals method?

#### Answer

No, since it is not part of the API of the Boolean class.

```
@Test
public void test() {
  try {
    call of constructor or method;
  } catch (Exception e) {
    Assert.fail("Exception was thrown");
  }
}
```

# Question

Do we have to test whether each constructor and method does not throw any exceptions?

```
@Test
public void test() {
  try {
    call of constructor or method;
  } catch (Exception e) {
    Assert.fail("Exception was thrown");
  }
}
```

### Question

Do we have to test whether each constructor and method does not throw any exceptions?

#### Answer

No. If a constructor or method throws an exception, the test case will fail and the exception will be reported.

```
@Test
public void test() {
  Boolean value = new Boolean(true);
  Assert.assertNotNull("...", value);
  value = false;
  Assert.assertFalse("...", value);
  value = true;
  Assert.assertTrue("...", value);
}
```

# Question

Which class is tested, java.lang.Boolean or lab.Boolean?

```
@Test
public void test() {
  Boolean value = new Boolean(true);
  Assert.assertNotNull("...", value);
  value = false;
  Assert.assertFalse("...", value);
  value = true;
  Assert.assertTrue("...", value);
}
```

# Question

Which class is tested, java.lang.Boolean or lab.Boolean?

#### Answer

java.lang.Boolean.

```
Boolean bool = new Boolean(true);
Boolean bool2 = new Boolean(true);
Boolean bool3 = new Boolean(false);
Boolean bool4 = new Boolean(false);
```

Are these variable names descriptive?

```
Boolean bool = new Boolean(true);
Boolean bool2 = new Boolean(true);
Boolean bool3 = new Boolean(false);
Boolean bool4 = new Boolean(false);
```

Are these variable names descriptive?

#### Answer

No.

Should we test the JUnit test cases?

Should we test the JUnit test cases?

#### Answer

Should we test the tests that test the JUnit test cases? No.

Should we test the JUnit test cases?

#### Answer

Should we test the tests that test the JUnit test cases? No.

We may find bugs in our tests when a test case fails and we inspect our code and the test case. When evaluating test cases, we are often interested in coverage (code, path).

Software Engineering Testing (EECS 4313)

If we run the JUnit test case **BooleanTest** and all tests pass, can we conclude that the class **Boolean** correctly implements the API?

If we run the JUnit test case **BooleanTest** and all tests pass, can we conclude that the class **Boolean** correctly implements the API?

#### Answer

No.

If we run the JUnit test case **BooleanTest** and all tests pass, can we conclude that the class **Boolean** correctly implements the API?

Answer			
No.			

Question

Why not?

If we run the JUnit test case BooleanTest and all tests pass, can we conclude that the class Boolean correctly implements the API?

Answer	
No.	

# Question

Why not?

#### Answer

Run the JUnit test case BooleanTest several times.

How is it possible that the JUnit test case BooleanTest passes all tests pass in some runs and fails the method testCompareTo in other runs?

How is it possible that the JUnit test case BooleanTest passes all tests pass in some runs and fails the method testCompareTo in other runs?

#### Answer

Lets have a look at the code of compareTo.

How is it possible that the JUnit test case BooleanTest passes all tests pass in some runs and fails the method testCompareTo in other runs?

#### Answer

Lets have a look at the code of compareTo.

#### Answer

Because the code of compareTo uses randomization.

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

#### Answer

The source code of most computer and video games contains some sort of randomization. This provides games with the ability to surprise players, which is a key factor to their long-term appeal.

Katie Salen and Eric Zimmerman. *Rules of Play: Game Design Fundamentals*. The MIT Press. 2004.

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

#### Answer

Randomization may reduce the expected running time or memory usage.

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

#### Answer

Randomization may reduce the expected running time or memory usage.

# Question

Which algorithms exploit randomization this way?

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

#### Answer

Randomization may reduce the expected running time or memory usage.

# Question

Which algorithms exploit randomization this way?

#### Answer

- Randomized quicksort.
- Skiplist.
- . . .

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

#### Answer

Randomization may allow us to solve problems.

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

#### Answer

Randomization may allow us to solve problems.

# Question

Which algorithms exploit randomization this way?

Why are we interested in randomization in our code?

#### Answer

Randomization may allow us to solve problems.

### Question

Which algorithms exploit randomization this way?

### Answer

• Consensus problem (in an asynchronous distributed system in which processes may fail).

Nondeterministic code is code that, even for the same input, can exhibit different behaviors on different runs, as opposed to deterministic code.

Randomization gives rise to nondeterminism.

Nondeterministic code is code that, even for the same input, can exhibit different behaviors on different runs, as opposed to deterministic code.

Randomization gives rise to nondeterminism.

### Question

Besides randomization, are there other programming concept that give rise to nondeterminism?

Nondeterministic code is code that, even for the same input, can exhibit different behaviors on different runs, as opposed to deterministic code.

Randomization gives rise to nondeterminism.

### Question

Besides randomization, are there other programming concept that give rise to nondeterminism?

#### Answer

Concurrency.

- When: Friday January 12 during the lab
- Topic: testing