# **EECS 3221.3 Operating System Fundamentals**

#### **No.5**

# **Process Synchronization(1)**

Prof. Hui Jiang

Dept of Electrical Engineering and

Computer Science, York University

#### Background: cooperating processes with shared memory

- Many processes or threads are cooperating:
  - One way is to use shared memory.
- But concurrent access to shared data may result in data inconsistency.
- To share data among processes (threads), we need some mechanisms to ensure the orderly execution of cooperating processes (threads) to maintain data consistency.

#### **Process Synchronization**

- How data inconsistency happens?
  - Example: producer-consumer problem using a bounded-buffer
- Pure software solution:
  - 2-process: Peterson's algorithm
  - N-process: Bakery algorithm
- Synchronization hardware
- Semaphores
- Three classic synchronization problems:
  - The bounded-buffer problem.
  - The reader-writer problem.
  - The dining-philosopher problem.

# Producer-Consumer Problem: using shared memory

- Producer-Consumer problem:
  - Two parties: producer & consumer processes
  - A producer process produces information that is consumed by a consumer process.
  - Shared memory:
    - Bounded buffer: a fixed buffer size (producer blocks when the buffer is full)
  - Example:
    - Printer program → printer driver
    - Compiler → assembler

#### Bounded-Buffer Producer-consumer problem (1)

Shared data

```
#define BUFFER_SIZE 10
typedef struct {
    ...
} item;
item buffer[BUFFER_SIZE];
int in = 0;
int out = 0;
int counter = 0;
```

#### Bounded-Buffer Producer-consumer problem (2)

Producer process

```
item nextProduced;
while (1) {
    ... /* generate a new item in nextProduced */
    while (counter == BUFFER_SIZE); /* do nothing */
    buffer[in] = nextProduced;
    in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
    counter++;
}
```

#### Bounded-Buffer Producer-consumer problem(3)

Consumer process

```
item nextConsumed;
while (1) {
     while (counter == 0) ; /* do nothing */
     nextConsumed = buffer[out];
     out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
     counter--;

... /* consume the item in nextConsumed */
}
```

#### Bounded-Buffer Producer-consumer problem (4)

- If both the producer and consumer attempt to update the buffer concurrently, the assembly language statements may get interleaved.
  - which causes unexpected results
- Interleaving depends upon how the producer and consumer processes are scheduled.

# Bounded-Buffer Producer-consumer problem (5)

• The statement "counter++" may be implemented in machine language as:

```
register1 = counter
register1 = register1 + 1
counter = register1
```

The statement "counter--" may be implemented as:

```
register2 = counter
register2 = register2 – 1
counter = register2
```

#### Bounded-Buffer Producer-consumer problem(6)

- · Assume counter is initially 5.
- First step: producer process adds a new item

```
producer: register1 = counter (register1 = 5)
producer: register1 = register1 + 1 (register1 = 6)
producer: counter = register1 (counter = 6)
```

Second Step: consumer process takes one item

```
consumer: register2 = counter (register2 = 6)
consumer: register2 = register2 - 1 (register2 = 5)
consumer: counter = register2 (counter = 5)
```

#### Bounded-Buffer Producer-consumer problem(7)

- Initially counter=5.
- · One interleaving of statements is:

producer: register1 = counter (register1 = 5)
producer: register1 = register1 + 1 (register1 = 6)

Producer process is preempted → context switch → ... → to consumer process

consumer: register2 = counter (register2 = 5)
consumer: register2 = register2 - 1 (register2 = 4)

Consumer process is preempted → context switch → ... → to producer process

producer: counter = register1 (counter = 6)

Producer is pre-empted again

**consumer:** counter = register2 (**counter = 4**)

The value of count ends up with 4 (incorrect!!)

#### **Race Condition**

- Race condition: The situation where several processes (or threads) access and manipulate shared data concurrently. The final value of the shared data depends upon the particular order in which the access takes place.
  - General to all shared data in multiprogramming systems.
- Race condition happens in:
  - Multiple processes with shared memory
  - Multi-threaded program
  - Preemptive OS kernel

#### **Race Conditions in OS**

- Non-preemptive kernels
  - No race condition occurs in kernel.
- Preemptive kernels
  - Race condition could occur in kernel.
  - Protection techniques are needed for all shared data.
  - Examples:
    - Moving several PCB's from one waiting queue to ready queue; moving several PCB's to the same waiting queue.
    - · Kernel counters; kernel flags, ...

#### Bounded-Buffer Producer-consumer problem (8)

The statements

counter++; (in producer)

counter--; (in consumer)

must be performed atomically.

 Atomic operation means an operation that completes in its entirety without interruption.

## **Process Synchronization**

- Process synchronization: To prevent race conditions, concurrent processes must be synchronized to ensure an orderly execution sequence for all processes.
  - To ensure only one process can manipulate the shared data at a time.
     (the key idea of process synchronization)

#### The Critical-Section Problem(1)

- n processes all competing to use some shared data.
- Each process has code segments, called *critical* section, in which the shared data is accessed.
- Ensure that when one process is executing in its critical section, no other processes are allowed to execute in their critical sections.
  - The execution of critical sections by processes is mutually exclusive in time.

#### The Critical-Section Problem(2)

General structure of each process P<sub>i</sub>

```
critical section

exit section

remainder section

while (1);
```

#### **Solution to Critical-Section Problem**

#### 1. Mutual Exclusion

 If a process is executing in its critical section, then no other processes can be executing in their critical sections.

#### 2. Progress

 If no process in its critical section and some processes wish to enter their critical sections, then only these processes wishing to enter the critical section can participate in the decision on which will enter the critical section next, and the decision selection of the processes cannot be postponed indefinitely.

#### 3. Bounded Waiting

 After a process has made a request to enter its critical section, there much be a bound on the number of times that other processes are allowed to enter their critical sections before that request is granted.

#### Software Solution to the critical section problem

- Assume each process is executing at a non-zero speed. No assumption on their relative speed.
- No assumption on special hardware instructions except each instruction is executed atomically.
- No assumption on the number of CPU's in the system.
- Starting from the case with only two processes:
  - Process Po and P1

for two processes perfectly.

- When presenting P<sub>i</sub>, use P<sub>j</sub> to indicate another (j=1-i)

#### Peterson's solution Combined shared variables of algorithms 1 and 2. boolean flag[2]; initially flag [0] = flag [1] = false. int turn; initially turn = 0 or 1. Process P<sub>i</sub> Signaling Pi wish to enter the critical section do { Asserting that if the other flag [ i ] = true; process wish to enter the turn = j;critical section, it can do while (flag [j] && turn ==j); critical section Checking which will enter flag [ i ] = false; the critical section remainder section } while (1);

Meets all three requirements; solves the critical-section problem

#### **Proving Peterson's Algorithm**

```
flag [0] = false;
flag [1] = false;
int turn; /* = 0 or 1 */
```

```
Process Po:

do {

flag [ 0 ] = true;

turn = 1;

while (flag [ 1 ] && turn == 1);

critical section of Po

flag [ 0 ] = false;

remainder section of Po
} while (1);
```

```
Process P1:

do {

flag [ 1 ] = true;
turn = 0;
while (flag [ 0 ] && turn == 0);
critical section of P1
flag [ 1 ] = false;
remainder section of P1
} while (1);
```

#### **Modification 1**

- Shared variables:
  - int turn;
    initially turn = 0
  - $turn == i \rightarrow Pi$  can enter its critical section.
- Process P<sub>i</sub>

```
while (turn != i);
critical section
turn = j;
remainder section
} while (1);
```

Satisfies mutual exclusion, but not progress.

#### **Modification 1 works?**

#### **Shared variables:**

*int turn*; **initially** *turn* = 0

# Process Po: do { while (turn != 0); critical section of Po turn = 1; remainder section of Po } while (1);

```
Process P1:

do {
   while ( turn != 1) ;
   critical section of P1
   turn = 0;
   remainder section of P1
} while (1);
```

#### **Modification 2**

- Shared variables
  - boolean flag[2]; initially flag [0] = flag [1] = false.
  - flag [i] == true  $\rightarrow$   $P_i$  requests to enter its critical section.
- Process P<sub>i</sub>

```
do {
    flag[i] = true;
    while (flag[j]);
    critical section
    flag[i] = false;
    remainder section
} while (1);
Signal that Pi is ready to enter its critical section

enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical section

### Pi is ready to enter its critical secti
```

Satisfies mutual exclusion, but not progress requirement.

#### **Modification 2 works?**

#### **Shared variables**

```
boolean flag[2];
initially flag [0] = flag [1] = false.
flag [i] == true \Rightarrow P_i requests to enter its critical section.
```

```
Process Po:

do {

flag [ 0 ] = true;

while (flag [ 1 ]);

critical section of Po

flag [ 0 ] = false;

remainder section of Po
} while (1);
```

```
Process P1:

do {

flag [ 1 ] = true;

while (flag [ 0 ]);

critical section of P1

flag [ 1 ] = false;

remainder section of P1

} while (1);
```

## **Bakery Algorithm(1)**

- To solve critical section problem with n processes.
- Before entering its critical section, each process receives a number. Holder of the smallest number enters the critical section first.
- The numbering scheme always generates numbers in increasing order of enumeration; i.e., 1,2,3,3,3,3,4,5...
- If processes P<sub>i</sub> and P<sub>j</sub> receive the same number, then comparing their process number, if i < j, then P<sub>i</sub> enters first; else P<sub>i</sub> enters first.

## **Bakery Algorithm(2)**

- Notation: (ticket #, process id #)
   (a,b) < (c,d) if a < c or if a = c and b < d</li>
   max (a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>, ..., a<sub>n-1</sub>) is a number, k, such that k >= a<sub>i</sub> for i = 0, ..., n 1
- Shared data

```
boolean choosing[n]; (Initially false)
int number[n]; (Initially 0)
```

## **Bakery Algorithm(3)**

The Structure of process Pi

```
do {
    choosing[i] = true;
    number[i] = max(number[0], number[1], ..., number [n - 1])+1;
    choosing[i] = false;
    for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
            while (choosing[j]);
            while ((number[j]!= 0) && (number[j],j) < (number[i],i));
    }
    critical section
    number[i] = 0;
    remainder section
} while (1);</pre>
```

## **Bakery Algorithm(3)**

The Structure of process Pi

```
do {
    number[i] = max(number[0], number[1], ..., number [n - 1])+1;

for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
        while ((number[j]!= 0) && (number[j],j) < (number[i],i));
    }
    critical section
    number[i] = 0;
    remainder section
} while (1);</pre>
```

#### **Synchronization Hardware**

- In a uni-processor case, disable interrupt while a shared variable is being modified.
  - Not feasible in a multiprocessor case
  - Not possible in user-space
- Many popular CPUs support a limited number of atomic operations:
  - Atomic integer instructions
  - Atomic bit operation instructions
  - Atomic TestAndSet instruction
  - Atomic Swap instruciton

#### **TestAndSet Instruction**

- TestAndSet: test and modify the content of a word atomically.
- Atomic even in multi-processor case: if two TestAndSet instructions are executed simultaneously on two different CPUs, they will be executed sequentially in some arbitrary order.

```
boolean TestAndSet (boolean &target) {
    boolean rv = target;
    target = true;

    return rv;
}
```

# Mutual Exclusion with TestAndSet (for multiple processes)

```
Shared data:
```

```
boolean lock = false;
```

#### **Swap instruction**

Swap the contents of two words atomically as one uninterruptible unit.

```
void Swap(boolean &a, boolean &b) {
     boolean temp = a;
     a = b;
     b = temp;
}
```

# Mutual Exclusion with Swap (for multiple processes)

```
Shared data:
```

```
boolean lock; (initialized to false)
```

Process P<sub>i</sub> (with a local variable key)

```
do {
   key = true;
   while (key == true)
        Swap(lock,key);
   critical section
   lock = false;
   remainder section
}
```