EECS 3221 Operating System Fundamentals

No.8

Memory Management (1)

Prof. Hui Jiang Dept of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, York University


Memory Management


- A program usually resides on a disc as a binary executable file.
- The program can be moved between disk and memory.
 Program must be brought into memory and placed
- Program must be brought into memory and placed within a process for it to be executed.
- In multiprogramming, we keep several programs in memory.
- Memory management strategies:
- Contiguous Memory Allocation
 Paging
- Faying
- Segmentation
- Segmentation with paging
- Memory management needs hardware support MMU.


CPU vs. memory

Physical memory consists of a large array of words or bytes, each with its own address.

- In a typical instruction-execution cycle:
- CPU fetches an instruction from memory according to PC .
- The instruction is decoded.
- CPU may fetch operands from memory according to the address in the instruction. (optional)
- CPU execute in registers
- CPU saves results into a memory address (optional)
- CPU generates address from program counter, program address, etc.
- CPU sends the address to a memory management unit (MMU), which is hardware to actually locate the memory at certain location.
 - Memory protection.
- Memory mapping (address translation).







Address binding

Address binding: binding the logical memory addresses in instructions and data to physical memory addresses.


- In source programs: symbolic addresses (e.g., count, i, j, etc.) - A compiler will bind each symbolic address to a relocatable
- address (e.g. 14 bytes from the beginning of the module)
- The linkage editor or loader will bind each relocatable address to a logical address (e.g., 4014)
- In run-time, MMU will bind each logical address to a physical address (e.g., 074014)
- The final physical address is used to locate memory.

Allow a user program to be loaded in any part of the physical memory > address binding in run-time

→ completely separate physical address from logical address

Memory-Management Unit (MMU)

- MMU: maps logical address to physical address.
- The user program deals with *logical* addresses; it never sees the *real* physical addresses. A simple MMU scheme, the value in the relocation
- register is added to every address generated by a user process at the time it is sent to memory.



Logical vs. Physical address (2)

- · Separating logical address from physical address: - Requires hardware support : MMI does address mapping dynamically.
- · Why separating logical address from physical address?
 - Easier for compiler
 - More benefits to OS memory management
 - Consider two old methods ...

Address Binding: compile-time


- In compiling, physical address is generated for every instruction.
- The compiler has to know where the process will reside in memory.
- The code can not change location in memory unless it is re-compiled.
- No separation of logical and physical address spaces.
- Example: .COM format in MS-DOS. Not a choice for a multiprogramming system.


Address Binding: load-time


- · The compiler generate re-locatable code.
- · When OS loading code to memory, physical address is generated for every instruction in the program.
- · The process can be loaded into different memory locations.
- But once loaded, it can not move during execution.
- Loading a program is slow.

Benefits to separate LA from PA

- Easier for compiler:
 - Generate binary codes in separate logical spaces.
- All instructions use LA.
- Maximum flexibility for OS to manage memory:
- Program loading is fast, just direct copy.
- The same binary code can be loaded anywhere in memory.
- A loaded program can be re-located in memory.
- Need hardware MMU support.







Dynamic Storage-Allocation Problem

How to satisfy a request of size n from a list of free holes that have various size.

- · First-fit: Allocate the first hole that is big enough.
- Best-fit: Allocate the *smallest* hole that is big enough; must search entire list, unless ordered by size. Produces the smallest leftover hole.
- Worst-fit: Allocate the *largest* hole; must also search entire list. Produces the largest leftover hole.
- 1. First-fit and best-fit are better than worst-fit in terms of speed and memory utilization.
- 2. First-fit is faster than best-fit.

Contiguous Memory Allocation: External Fragmentation

- External fragmentation total memory space exists to satisfy a request, but it is not contiguous.
- Contiguous memory allocation suffers serious external fragmentation; Free memory is quickly broken into little pieces.
 50-percent rule for first fit (1/3 is wasted).
- Reduce external fragmentation by compaction:
 - Shuffle memory contents to place all free memory together in one large block.
- Compaction is possible *only* if relocation is dynamic, and is done at execution time.
 Compaction is very costly.
- Reduce external fragmentation by better memory management methods:
 - Paging.
 Segmentation.

Contiguous Memory Allocation: Expanding memory

- How to allocate more memory to an existing process?
 - Move-and-Copy may be needed.
- It is difficult to share memory among different processes.

╒╤<u>╫</u>┝╾╫╵╾╔╌╢╔╤╗╔╤<u>╤╫╚</u>╼╫╚╤╜┝═╦