### 3.1 Image Processing: Filtering

## Image Processing vs Computer Vision

* What is the difference between image processing and computer vision?
* Image processing maps an image to a different version of the image.
* Computer vision maps one or more images to inferences about the visual scene.
* Image processing operations often required as pre-processing for computer vision algorithms.
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## Point Operators

* Image processing point operators transform each pixel independently of other pixels.

$$
g(\boldsymbol{x})=h(f(\boldsymbol{x}))
$$

* or


output image input image


| 45 | 60 | 98 | 127 | 132 | 133 | 137 | 133 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | 65 | 98 | 123 | 126 | 128 | 131 | 133 |
| 47 | 65 | 96 | 115 | 119 | 123 | 135 | 137 |
| 47 | 63 | 91 | 107 | 113 | 122 | 138 | 134 |
| 50 | 59 | 80 | 97 | 110 | 123 | 133 | 134 |
| 49 | 53 | 68 | 83 | 97 | 113 | 128 | 133 |
| 50 | 50 | 58 | 70 | 84 | 102 | 116 | 126 |
| 50 | 50 | 52 | 58 | 69 | 86 | 101 | 120 |



## Examples

* Contrast/brightness adjustment:

* Inverse gamma - undo compressive gamma mapping applied in sensor so that pixel intensities are (approximately) proportional to the light irradiance at the sensor:
$g(x)=x^{\gamma}$
(note that textbook Eqn. 3.7 has this backwards)


## Histogram Equalization

* The colours in most images are not uniformly distributed across the gamut.
* Redistribution of these colours to be uniform is called histogram equalization.

$$
c(I)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{I} h(i)=c(I-1)+\frac{1}{N} h(I)
$$



Input Image





Output Image
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## Linear Filters

* Many image processing operations involve linear combinations of the pixels within a finite neighbourhood of a pixel.
* Typically, the same set of weights is applied at each pixel.
* The pattern of weights is called a linear filter.
* When applied at all locations in the image, this can be expressed as a correlation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(i, j)=\sum_{k, l} f(i+k, j+l) h(k, l) \\
& \quad \text { or } \\
& g=f \otimes h .
\end{aligned}
$$

* or alternatively as a convolution
$g(i, j)=\sum_{k, l} f(i-k, j-l) h(k, l)=\sum_{k, l} f(k, l) h(i-k, j-l)$
or
$g=f * h \longleftarrow$ Impulse response function: $h * \delta=h$,


## Linear Shift Invariant Operators

* Both correlation and convolution are linear shift invariant operators, which obey
- Superposition

$$
h \circ\left(f_{0}+f_{1}\right)=h \circ f_{0}+h \circ f_{1}
$$

- Shift invariance

$$
g(i, j)=f(i+k, j+l) \Leftrightarrow(h \circ g)(i, j)=(h \circ f)(i+k, j+l)
$$

Correlation and convolution can both be written as a matrix-vector multiply, if we first convert the two-dimensional images $f(i, j)$ and $g(i, j)$ into raster-ordered vectors $\boldsymbol{f}$ and $\boldsymbol{g}$,

$$
g=H f
$$

where the (sparse) $\boldsymbol{H}$ matrix contains the convolution kernels.

| 72 | 88 | 62 | 52 | 37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$*$| $1 / 4$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |\(\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}2 \& 1 \& \cdot \& \cdot \& \cdot <br>

1 \& 2 \& 1 \& \cdot \& \cdot <br>
\cdot \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 \& \cdot <br>
\cdot \& \cdot \& 1 \& 2 \& 1 <br>
\cdot \& \cdot \& \cdot \& 1 \& 2\end{array}\right]\left[$$
\begin{array}{l}72 \\
88 \\
62 \\
52 \\
37\end{array}
$$\right]\)

## Handling Borders

* What do we do near the border of the image, where the kernel (filter) 'falls off' the edge?



## Handling Borders

* Padding options
- Zero-padding - ignore kernel weights that fall outside image
- Clamp - extend boundary values of image
- Cyclic - toroidally wrap around
- Mirror - reflect pixels across image edge
* Alternatively, we can crop the image and return only the 'valid' portion
- e.g., MATLAB conv2(...,shape) returns a subsection of the two-dimensional convolution, as specified by the shape parameter:
$\downarrow$ 'full' Returns the full two-dimensional convolution (default).
- 'same' Returns the central part of the convolution of the same size as A.
$\downarrow$ 'valid' Returns only those parts of the convolution for which the kernel lies entirely within the image.


## Separable Filters

* Given a general 2D kernel of size ( $m, n$ ) pixels, application at each pixel of the image involves $m^{*} n$ multiplies.
* For an $M^{*} N$ image, the total number of multiplies for the convolution is $M^{*} N^{*} m^{*} n$.
* However, certain special 2D kernels can be decomposed into 2 1D kernels, reducing the number of multiples at a pixel to $m+n$.
* Example: 2D axis-aligned Gaussian kernel

$$
h(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{x}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma_{x}^{2}}\right)\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{x}} \exp \left(-\frac{y^{2}}{2 \sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

> MATLAB function conv2(h1, h2, A)

## Example Separable Filters



## Gaussian Derivatives

* Local difference filters like the Sobel filter estimate local intensity gradients.
* But the restriction to a $3 \times 3$ neighbourhood of the image makes the results noisy.
* A more general and smooth family of filters are the Gaussian derivatives, which can be derived by taking partial spatial derivatives of the 2D Gaussian function

$$
G(x, y ; \sigma)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}
$$

* Example: Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG):

$$
\nabla^{2} f=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial y^{2}}
$$

$\nabla^{2} G(x, y ; \sigma)=\left(\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{\sigma^{4}}-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}}\right) G(x, y ; \sigma)$
MATLAB function
mvnpdf


## Steerable Filters

* To detect contours in the image, we typically use oriented Gaussian derivative filters, formed by taking directional derivatives of the Gaussian function:

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \nabla(G * f)=\nabla_{\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}}(G * f)=\left(\nabla_{\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}} G\right) * f .
$$

* Note that

$$
G_{\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}}=u G_{x}+v G_{y}=u \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}=\cos \theta \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}+\sin \theta \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} \quad \text { where } \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}=(u, v)=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)
$$

* In other words, the Gaussian derivative filter in direction $\boldsymbol{u}$ is a weighted sum of the Gaussian derivatives in x and y directions.



## What filters are steerable?

* It turns out that Gaussian derivatives of all orders are steerable with a finite number of basis functions.
* For example, a Gaussian 2nd derivative requires 3 basis functions:

$$
G_{\hat{u} \hat{u}}=u^{2} G_{x x}+2 u v G_{x y}+v^{2} G_{y y}
$$

* Moreover, the basis functions are separable (or superpositions of separable functions).

| Order | $\mathbf{G}^{m, 0}$ | $\mathbf{G}^{m, 1}$ | $\mathbf{G}^{\text {m,2 }}$ | $\mathbf{G}^{m, 3}$ | $\mathbf{G}^{m, 4}$ | $\mathbf{G}^{m, 5}$ | $\mathbf{f}^{\text {max }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m=1$ | 4 | * |  |  |  |  | $+$ |
| $m=2$ | 8 | $\pm$ | * |  |  |  | $*$ |
| $m=3$ | 8 | 8 | $\cdots$ | $=$ |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| $m=4$ | 18 | \% | $\otimes$ | * | $=$ |  |  |
| $m=5$ | 1 | \% | * | $\cdots$ | * | $=$ |  |

## Application: Edge Detection

Local Scale Control


Elder \& Zucker 1998
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## Integral Images

* If a diversity of box filters are to be employed, it can be very efficient to derive these from the integral image $s(i, j)$, which is the 2D analog of a 1 D cumulative sum:

$$
s(i, j)=\sum_{k=0}^{i} \sum_{l=0}^{j} f(k, l)
$$

* This is efficiently computed using a raster-scan algorithm:

$$
s(i, j)=s(i-1, j)+s(i, j-1)-s(i-1, j-1)+f(i, j) .
$$

* Now, for example, a rectangular box average of arbitrary size and shape can be computed using just 4 additions/subtractions on the integral image:
$S\left(i_{0} \ldots i_{1}, j_{0} \ldots j_{1}\right)=$

$$
s\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right)-s\left(i_{1}, j_{0}-1\right)-s\left(i_{0}-1, j_{1}\right)+s\left(i_{0}-1, j_{0}-1\right)
$$

| Image $f$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 8 |

Integral image $s$

| 3 | 5 | 12 | 14 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 11 | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 24 | 31 |
| 9 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 28 | 38 | 46 |
| 13 | 24 | 37 | 48 | 62 |
| 15 | 30 | 44 | 59 | 81 |

Integral image $s$

| 3 | 5 | 12 | 14 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 11 | 19 | 24 | 31 |
| 9 | 17 | 28 | 38 | 46 |
| 13 | 24 | 37 | 48 | 62 |
| 15 | 30 | 44 | 59 | 81 |

## Application: Face Detection



Viola \& Jones 2001

## Recursive Filters

* The efficient raster-scan computation used to compute the integral image is an example of a recursive filter.
* Also known as infinite-impulse response (IIR) filters
* Unfortunately Gaussian derivatives do not have a recursive implementation.
* However, there are efficient recursive approximations



## Optimal Linear Filters

* For some problems and under some conditions, it can be proven that linear filtering yields an optimal solution.
- Example: estimation of the mean irradiance from a surface in the scene.

Let $f(x, y)=g(x, y)+n(x, y)$ be a noisy image patch, where $\mathrm{g}(x, y)$ is the true irradiance from the patch and $n(x, y)$ is random noise added by the sensor.


If $n(x, y)$ is additive Gaussian, independent and identically distributed (IID), then $\bar{f}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x, y} f(x, y)$ is an optimal (unbiased and efficient) estimator of $\overline{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x, y} g(x, y)$, where $n$ is the number of pixels in the patch.

- Notes:

This is a box filter, which can be implemented using integral images.
$\bar{f}$ minimizes the mean squared deviation: $\bar{f}=\underset{\hat{f}}{\arg \min } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x, y}(\hat{f}-f(x, y))^{2}$
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## Nonlinear Filters

* For many problems/conditions, linear filtering is provably sub-optimal.
- Example: shot noise.


Image + shot noise


After linear filtering with a Gaussian lowpass filter

- Can we do better than this?


## Median Filters

* A median filter simply replaces the pixel value with the median value in its neighbourhood.

| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 9 |
| 3 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

MATLAB function
medfilt2

* It is a good choice for shot (heavy-tailed) noise, as the median value is not affected by extreme noise values
* Can be computed in linear time.
* Reduces blurring of edges


Gaussian lowpass filter


Median filter

## Median Filters

* While averaging minimizes the squared deviation, median filtering minimizes the absolute $\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)$ error:

$$
\bar{f}=\underset{\hat{f}}{\arg \min } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x, y}|\hat{f}-f(x, y)|
$$

## Bilateral Filters

* Gaussian linear filters provide a nice way of grading the weights of neighbouring pixels so that closer pixels have more influence than more distant pixels.
* Median filters provide a nice way of reducing the influence of outlier values.
* Can we somehow combine these two things?



## Bilateral Filters

In the bilateral filter, the output pixel value depends on a weighted combination of neighboring pixel values
$g(i, j)=\frac{\sum_{k, l} f(k, l) w(i, j, k, l)}{\sum_{k, l} w(i, j, k, l)}$.
The weighting coefficient $w(i, j, k, l)$ depends on the product of a domain kernel
$d(i, j, k, l)=\exp \left(-\frac{(i-k)^{2}+(j-l)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{d}^{2}}\right)$
and a data-dependent range kernel (Figure 3.19d),

$$
r(i, j, k, l)=\exp \left(-\frac{\|f(i, j)-f(k, l)\|^{2}}{2 \sigma_{r}^{2}}\right) .
$$

| 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |


| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 |

When multiplied together, these yield the data-dependent bilateral weight function

$$
w(i, j, k, l)=\exp \left(-\frac{(i-k)^{2}+(j-l)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{d}^{2}}-\frac{\|f(i, j)-f(k, l)\|^{2}}{2 \sigma_{r}^{2}}\right) .
$$

## Bilateral Filters - Example



Range kernel $r$

## Anisotropic Diffusion

* Iterative application of bilateral filtering leads to a smoothing process equivalent to a popular edge-preserving smoothing technique due to Perona \& Malik called anistropic diffusion.
* e.g., for a 4-neighbourhood:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(i, j, k, l) & =\exp \left(-\frac{(i-k)^{2}+(j-l)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{d}^{2}}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}1, & |k-i|+|l-j|=0, \\
\eta=e^{-1 / 2 \sigma_{d}^{2}}, & |k-i|+|l-j|=1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$



ISOTROPIC DIFFUSION

* and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{(t+1)}(i, j) & =\frac{f^{(t)}(i, j)+\eta \sum_{k, l} f^{(t)}(k, l) r(i, j, k, l)}{1+\eta \sum_{k, l} r(i, j, k, l)} \\
& =f^{(t)}(i, j)+\frac{\eta}{1+\eta R} \sum_{k, l} r(i, j, k, l)\left[f^{(t)}(k, l)-f^{(t)}(i, j)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R=\sum_{(k, l)} r(i, j, k, l),(k, l)$ are the $\mathcal{N}_{4}$ neighbors of $(i, j)$

## Anisotropic Diffusion Example

* But note that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f^{(t)}(i, j)=\text { constant }
$$


$t$

## End of Lecture Oct 1, 2018

## Morphological Filters

* Binary image processing often involves morphological filtering:
- Convolve with local filter $s$ called a structuring element $c=f * s$
- Threshold result: $\theta(c, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}1 & \text { if } c \geq t \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
- Example: Boxcar structuring element of size $S=9$

$$
s=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

| MATLAB functions |
| :--- |
| imdilate |
| imerode |
| imopen |
| inclose |



## The Distance Transform

The distance transform $D(i, j)$ of a binary image $b(i, j)$ is defined as follows. Let $d(k, l)$ be some distance metric between pixel offsets. Two commonly used metrics include the city block or Manhattan distance
$d_{1}(k, l)=|k|+|l|$
and the Euclidean distance

$$
d_{2}(k, l)=\sqrt{k^{2}+l^{2}} .
$$

The distance transform is then defined as


$$
D(i, j)=\min _{k, l: b(k, l)=0} d(i-k, j-l),
$$

i.e., it is the distance to the nearest background pixel whose value is 0 .
MATLAB function
bwdist

## Computing the Distance Transform

* City block
- Forward-backward two-pass raster scan
- Initialize:

$$
b(\operatorname{find}(b(:)))=\infty
$$

$\downarrow$ Forward pass

```
for \(\mathrm{j}=2:\) n
        if \(b(1, j)>0\)
            \(b(1, j)=1+b(1, j-1)\)
for \(\mathrm{i}=2: \mathrm{m}\)
    if \(b(i, 1)>0\)
                \(b(i, 1)=1+b(i-1,1)\)
        for \(\mathrm{j}=2:\) n
        if \(b(i, j)>0\)
                \(b(i, j)=1+\min (b(i-1, j), b(i, k-1))\)
```

- Backward pass

```
for \(\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{n}-1:-1: 1\)
    if \(b(m, j)>0\)
        \(b(m, j)=1+\min (b(m, j), b(m, j+1))\)
    for \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{m}-1:-1: 1\)
    if \(b(i, n)>0\)
        \(b(i, n)=1+\min (b(i, n), b(i+1, n))\)
    for \(\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{n}-1:-1: 1\)
        if \(b(i, j)>0\)
                \(b(i, j)=\min (b(i, j), 1+b(i+1, j), 1+b(i, j+1))\)
```
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